## March 2023 Bronze Bidding Challenge: Comments and Results

These problems come from a Women's practice session organised by the European Bridge League.

## Problem 1 Teams: EW Vul Dealer West

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \&KQJT92 } \\ & \stackrel{-}{ } \\ & \diamond \text { A92 } \\ & \& \text { AK53 } \end{aligned}$ | West | NORTH | EAST | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $30^{* *}$ | 3. | Pass |
|  | ? |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$3 \nabla^{* *}=$ a weak jump overcall
Only one panellist settles for a sure plus by stopping in game.
The others are agreed about one thing - slam is in the air. You have a 4-loser hand and a known trump fit.
Received wisdom is that if partner can have a perfect minimum, say, Axxx xxxx Kxx xx, you should at the very least make a try.

Russell wastes no time:
Frame: 6a: Although I can cue or even ask for Aces or Keycards, neither helps to identify the possible Grand Slam as partner may have the $\nabla A, \nabla K$ or $\diamond Q$, none of which will necessarily be useful. So I'll just bid what I think we can make!

I have a lot of sympathy with this approach. If you ask for keycards and partner shows one, or even two, you are no further forward. Blackwood has its uses as a way of staying out of a bad slam missing two keycards but it is not the best tool for bidding good ones.
The rest of the panel are aware that partner may not have perfect cards: Axxx Kxx Qxx xxx would not play well in slam. Most opt for the cheapest cue-bid.

Sime: 4e. Partner's bid is wide-ranging. It seems obvious to start with $4 \boldsymbol{k}$, hoping partner makes a noise en route to 4a.

This allows partner to cuebid the $\diamond K$ if he has it. If he responds $4 \checkmark$ or 4 the panel presumably signs off, missing slam opposite $A x x x$ xxxx xx QJx. The panel rejects a $4 \checkmark$ cue as too space-consuming.
MALE: $4 \boldsymbol{e}$. As attractive as a $4 \odot$ force is my preference is for $4 \boldsymbol{*}$ to see if I can get any further information from partner. Exclusion is probably too advanced.

Steve refers to Exclusion Keycard Blackwood, normally a jump to the 5-level in a void that asks partner to show keycards, excluding the Ace of the bid suit. An accident-prone convention! Here it would probably tell you that partner has the $\wedge$, but not whether he has second round control in diamonds and / or third round control in clubs.

Finally, we have an offbeat suggestion:
TUDOR: $4 \diamond$. I'm going to bid $6 \wedge$ at some stage, but as my diamonds look to be a weak spot, l'll muddy the waters with a cue bid to see if I can dissuade the opponents from leading the suit!

Nothing wrong with a spot of deception! Though they may not need to lead a diamond initially to beat this slam...

|  | Panel | Marks | Competitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \boldsymbol{5}$ | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| $6 \downarrow$ | 1 | 8 | 4 |
| $4 \diamond$ | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| $4 \boldsymbol{\imath}$ | 1 | 6 | 8 |
| 4 NT | 0 | 5 | 13 |
| $4 \nabla$ | 0 | 4 | 3 |

Partner has A73 T73 K853 Q84. Slam looks pretty good with 11 tricks on top and good chances of a $12^{\text {th }}$ in the minors. 6^ was unlucky: nothing broke and there was no squeeze.

## Problem 2 Teams: None Vul Dealer East

| ¢ 8542 | West | NORTH | EAst | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| จ42 | - | - | 18 | Dbl |
| -A4 | Pass | 2s | Dbl | Pass |
| \&T7542 | ? |  |  |  |

The EBL consensus was that this hand is good enough to respond on the first round - seems an Ace is all you need. Partner's double is takeout - there is no way she can have a penalty double on this auction. Your hand is maximum for its actions thus far. Your weakest call would be $2 จ$, selected by none of the panel.
They split equally between those who pass:

Sime: Pass. This might go badly, but at least it is not game if it makes. Much more likely is that they are in a $4=3$ fit and passing will net a heavy penalty.

I confess that I find it hard to envision a heavy penalty here. My trump pips are pitiful, partner sits under the stronger opponent and I do not expect to get on lead very often to draw trump. Danny's opinion seems more realistic:
Hamilton: Pass. Bit of a gamble this one, but both spades and hearts look to be splitting badly so l'll try defending. It's only two of a minor so not a disaster if it makes.

The other half of the panel bid their 4-card Major.
MALE: 2A. Partner has a big hand and his double is take out. I am not passing the double for penalties. My hand is too weak.

FRAME: 2A: My suit is truly dreadful but at least I can contribute a trick to the play with the $\Delta A$ - if partner makes another try, l'll give him a minimum raise in hearts.
My vote too. I would expect to make 2a most days, perhaps more easily than taking a plus score from 2ex.

|  | Panel | Marks | Competitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | 4 | 10 | 12 |
| Pass | 4 | 9 | 20 |
| $2 \boldsymbol{} \quad$ | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| $2 N T$ | 0 | 3 | 2 |

Partner has a big hand: KQ96 AKQ865 K52 - perhaps she should not double with a void in clubs.
4 of either Major makes easily, even with $\uparrow$ AJT sitting over the East hand. $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ doubled was -1.

## Problem 3 Teams: NS Vul Dealer North

| AA98 <br> \&AQ6543 <br> \&T8 <br> \&AQ | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
|  | $?$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

We enter an area not normally covered in a learner's course, the re-opening action.
Right-hand opponent has advertised extreme weakness. If we do not take some action we shall have to defend $1 \diamond$, which is unlikely to be much fun, (though it could be right to pass on a misfit hand).
In the re-opening position (aka protective position) your choice of bid is predicated on the knowledge that partner has some values. They say you should add a virtual King to your hand and bid accordingly - this is the Theory of the Transferrable King - effectively you borrow a King from partner's hand. (The corollary is that partner subtracts a King when responding.)
A re-opening 1NT becomes 11-14. 2NT is no longer Unusual: it shows about 19-21HCP in a balanced hand. A simple overcall has a maximum of about 14 HCP .
A jump overcall is NOT weak (what would be the point?) It shows a sound opener with a good 6-card suit. Hands that do not fit into any of the above categories must start with a double, then rebid a new suit or no-trump as appropriate to show strength.

Most of the panel believe that this hand is too strong for even an intermediate jump overcall, and start with double
Gallacher: Dbl. With 16 points and a 6-card heart suit, I will double first and then show my hearts.
TUDOR: : Dbl. Start with a Double intending to show my heart suit, and strength, on the next round.
A minority is less sanguine:
HAmilton: $2 \uparrow$, showing a good hand in the pass out seat. Not quite good enough to start with a double with Queens badly placed. If partner responds to $2 \nabla$ I can try game.
Frame: $1 \odot$ : I have a 6-loser hand but am sitting under the opener so will bid conservatively for now - the key decision, if any, may come later in the auction.
Sounds as if they plan to bid on if partner shows signs of life - would that confuse her?

|  | Panel | Marks | Competitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dbl | 5 | 10 | 18 |
| $2 \nabla$ | 1 | 8 | 8 |
| $1 \nabla$ | 2 | 6 | 13 |

Partner has an interesting hand: KT52 J8 2 KT9865. You can make game in hearts or clubs. The hard part is getting there.

Problem 4 Teams: EW Vul Dealer West

| ¢AQ63 | West | NORTH | EAST | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ®AT9 | 18 | P | 1) | P |
| $\checkmark T$ | ? |  |  |  |
| \&AQJT6 |  |  |  |  |

This is a nice hand, but it has not been improved by partner's response.
It is not a problem for those who play New Suit Forcing:
BARON: 1a. Forcing bid - no need to jump to show extra values.
But most do not have NSF in their system. If 1a is not forcing do we need to bid something else to show extra strength?

MALE: 24. Shape-showing and value showing. Even though a 1NT rebid shows equivalent vales the singleton in partner's suit makes this an unlikely candidate for a NT contract.
McKAY: 2a. 1 NT or even 2 NT is a possibility given the lovely clubs but I really have an 18 count and would rather bid out my shape as it will be easier to get to the right spot.

The problem I have with the jump rebid in a new suit is that I have always played it as forcing to game. Is this hand really worth a game force?

Some try to show their strength by rebidding in NT:
HAMILTON: 2NT. I'm upgrading this. Could rebid 2a but with partner bidding diamonds NT seems better, and we still have Checkback Stayman to find a spade fit.
The hand is certainly strong, with some sparkling intermediates. 2NT allows partner to pass if minimum, but I might be a little concerned if she did!

Gallacher: 1NT. I feel 1 a is an underbid and $2 \wedge$ a slight overbid. My partner has bid $1 \diamond$ and I have cover in the other suits.
We seem to have a choice between overbidding to show our distribution or distorting our distribution to show our strength. Perhaps we should focus on getting to the right strain and save the strength-showing rebid for next time.
TUDOR: 1^. Not strong enough for a game-forcing jump to 2^, and 2NT distorts the shape of my hand.
Sime: 1a. A game forcing $2 \uparrow$ would be slightly more attractive if partner had responded $1 \varnothing$. As it is, missing game is unlikely if 1 a is passed out.

|  | Panel | Marks | Competitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | 3 | 10 | 15 |
| 24 | 2 | 8 | 8 |
| 1NT | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| 2NT | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| 3. | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 3NT | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 20 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

## Top Scores

A set with some unusual situations. Congratulations to Alan on his perfect score.

| $\mathbf{2}^{*}$ Master | Will lles | Stewartry | 35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Charles Fogelman | GBC | 29 |
| $\mathbf{1}^{*}$ Master | David King | Berwick | 32 |
|  | Roy Heanes | New Melville | 31 |
|  | Alasdair Adam | Direct | 30 |
| Master | David Edelman | Maccabi | 33 |
|  | Pam Warner | New Melville | 30 |
|  | Neil Bulleid | Johnanan | 28 |
| District Master | Alan Paterson | Kyle | 40 |
|  | May Armour | Pentland | 38 |
|  | Ken Brown | 38 |  |
|  | Graham Vincent/Andy McKinnel | Linlithgow | 38 |
|  | Paul Kerr | Troon | 35 |
|  | John Smithson | Berwick | 35 |
|  | Ken Tait | Marmion | 29 |
|  | Quentin Stephens | Aberdeen | 28 |
|  | Peter Beckett | New Melville | 36 |
|  | Margaret Mainland | Orkney | 36 |
|  | Alison Clayton/Lesley Cochrane | Aberdeen | 35 |
|  | John Ramsay | Carlton | 34 |
|  | Larry Watson | Buchanan | 33 |
|  | Chris Mickley | Nairn | 32 |
|  | Carlton | 32 |  |
|  | Kinton Horsfall | Bearsden Academy | 31 |
| Club Master | Kilmacolm | 28 |  |
|  | Fiona McCourt | New Melville | 33 |
|  | Wilma Currie | New Melville | 31 |
|  | Kimberley Munro | Carlton | 30 |
| Novice | lan Lowson | SteppingStone | 28 |
|  | Sandra Mair |  |  |
|  | Evelyn Watson |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

