## December Bronze Bidding Challenge

This set of problems comes from the Rayne Trophy Final.

## Problem 1 Teams: EW Vul, Dealer South

| \&T4 | West | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QQJ532 | - | - | - | Pass |
| จ843 | Pass | 18 | Dbl | Pass |
| ¢T93 | ? |  |  |  |

An impossible problem. If only South had come to our rescue by making a bid! Our panellists suggest 3 different solutions, and our competitors could not come up with any others. The most popular suggestion was:

MALE: 1NT seems the only option, to pass and hope to defeat the contract looks hopeless.
Sime: 1NT. The only reason for passing the double can be that we think that $-260(2 \nabla X+1)$ would be an acceptable score. That seems a tad pessimistic. And sidelines partner, who could have anything.
GALLACHER: 1NT This is almost exactly like a board I played 2 weeks ago. I had 19HCP and partner passed my double. (Maybe 1NT would have been better). I always respond to partner's double in this situation.

However...a 1NT response to a double normally shows more high cards than this, something like 7-10HCP. The auction suggests that partner has a strong hand, in which case he may raise. Vulnerable undertricks are expensive, particularly if opponents find a double.
Some decide to take their medicine - 18 doubled is not game; non-vulnerable overtricks are 'only' 100 apiece.
McKay: Pass. Hoping to limit the damage on the grounds that anything else might get us into more trouble.
Frame: Pass: The only alternative is 1NT and I would probably need to take all 7 tricks in the dummy, with no entries to hand. $10 x$ may well make, but is less likely to lead to $-200,-500$ or even -800 so $I$ opt for the less risky alternative with no great expectation of a plus score.

Well... we were all taught that 'takeout doubles should be taken out'. Partner asks you to bid your best suit. If we swopped the black suits round I suspect everybody would bid 1s as the weakest-sounding option. I can understand a reluctance to advance to the 2-level, but is $2 \&$ not the weakest-sounding choice here?

HAMILTON: 2\&. Not quite enough heart strength to Pass. If partner now bids $2 \uparrow$ or $2 \diamond$, l'll pass. If they bid a forcing $2 \varnothing$ l'll bid 2NT.
Seems sensible to me. I think opponents are less likely to double 20 than anything else I might try.

|  | Votes | Marks | Competitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1NT | 4 | 10 | 15 |
| 2\& | 1 | 9 | 7 |
| PASS | 3 | 7 | 13 |

Partner has his double - A853 6 AQ52 KQ87. When he raised 1NT to 2NT that did not play well. As predicted, the despairing pass sees them make an overtrick in $2 \checkmark \times$ for -260 .

## Problem 2 Teams: EW Vul, Dealer North

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { QQ976 } \\ & \text { ®J8 } \\ & \text { ®AQJ3 } \\ & \text { \&Q95 } \end{aligned}$ | West | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | P | 18 | 12 |
|  | ? |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Is this an easy problem?
SANDERS: 2NT. 10-12 points and a stop in opponent's suit.
MALE: Double or 2NT? I think the 9 persuades me to call 2NT.
Some experienced partnerships play that 2NT in this situation shows a good 4-card raise for partner's heart suit, using the 2a cuebid for a 3-card raise. Which may explain why Tim, who hates to miss a vulnerable game at teams, goes full throttle:
McKAY: 3NT. A bit of a stretch (a "Teams Bid") but at this form of scoring it should pay off more often than not.

The dilemma here is whether to try to find out more about partner's hand, or to tell him about your spade stopper assuming that NT is the most likely game.
Sime: Double. Let's leave partner the maximum room to describe his hand. 2/3NT now would be more attractive if my second spade was the Ten, making two stoppers.

A delicate distinction. Some 1s overcalls I have seen recently suggest that QTxx may not be two stoppers! Q9xx is enough to stop them cashing the first 5 tricks, and will almost surely make a trick if they lead spades, The negative double will find out whether partner has a secondary 4-card minor, but even if that is diamonds are we likely to play anywhere but NT? And what will partner do with a balanced hand but no spade stopper?

Tudor: $2 \diamond$. This hand has a no-trumpy feel to it, but it's not certain that a no-trump contract is the final destination - and there's no rush to get there. So I start slow by bidding my suit ...

A new suit here is forcing for one round. But if partner rebids $2 \nabla$ you will not be much further forward - they would have to rebid a 5-card suit with secondary clubs, or a balanced hand. And then you would bid NT...

|  | Votes | Marks | Competitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2NT | 4 | 10 | 18 |
| 3NT | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| DBL | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| 2 $\downarrow$ | 1 | 6 | 11 |
| 1NT | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| $2 \downarrow$ | 0 | 2 | 1 |

Partner has a minimum opener: 53 AK953 T764 A2. You can make 3NT by picking up $\vee$ QTx in the North hand and finessing diamonds, but most found that too difficult.

## Problem 3 Teams None Vul, Dealer East

| $$ | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - | 14. | 2『 |
|  | 3\% | P | 34 | P |
|  | ? |  |  |  |

This auction has got rather high rather fast. Most of the panel think slam is in the air, but in which strain? Derek hopes to find partner with a little club support.
SANDERS: 4\&. Since 3e is a new suit at the 3-level it is game-forcing. $4 \boldsymbol{2}$ emphasises the suit.
4e is undoubtedly a good call if it is forcing. You really want to know whether partner has a partial club fit. But will partner take it as forcing? A new suit at the 3-level in an uncontested auction is certainly game-forcing, but does this apply in competition? I tend to agree with lain here:

Sime: Is $4 \approx$ Forcing? No. What would I do with $x, x x x, ~ x x x, ~ A K Q x x x ? ~$
There are many hands with a 7-card club suit eg $x$ Kxx xx AKxxxxx that I could not bear to pass over $2 \vee$, where I would like partner to be allowed to pass my $4 \approx$ rebid with a misfit.
Mike does not believe in messing about:
GALLACHER: 64. I reckon partner has 6 spades for his 3a bid and a better than minimum hand. I have a 4-loser hand. Slam in either spades or clubs is on but which one? My partner might have advanced in clubs if she had any. I feel spades is where we want to play.
I am not sure that partner has shown extras by bidding 3a - he was forced to bid, after all. Worst case scenario he has something like AKJxx Jxx Kxxx x. The Losing Trick Count works best when you have at least a 9-card fit. Here you will need partner to have a pretty solid spade suit to make slam.
Danny finds a way to consult partner about his spade quality.
HAMILTON: 5a. Inviting partner to bid 6a with good trumps - they opened the bidding so must have points somewhere and I'm hoping it's solid spades. If I bid a forcing $4 \diamond$ instead not sure that helps.

Danny has made a good point, but the rest of the panel bid $4 \checkmark$ anyway.
MALE: 4४. A 4-loser hand, nor sure where we are going yet, let's see what partner does.
Partner is unlikely to have a heart control to cuebid, so what do you plan to do next when partner bids 4a?

Russell agrees with Derek that the auction is game-forcing but adopts a different approach:
Frame: 40 : I have 2 -card support for partner's 6 -card suit with ruffing values and 4 top tricks in the side suits. Partner's 3a should be stronger than 4, opposite my game-forcing 3 bid so I feel I'm worth a slam try in case he is suitable.

The Principle of Fast Arrival applies when you have a choice between a jump to game and a single raise.
Eg 2-2- - 2 - now 4s is weaker than 3^, which implies that you have something to cuebid.
Eg 1a-2NT (game-forcing raise) - 4^, using up more space, is weaker than 3a.
But I doubt that this Principle applies here, where spades have not been agreed.
Several competitors opt for 4NT, Ace-asking. Which is not generally recommended when you have a void, but might work well provided partner does not have the $\diamond$ A. Only one competitor is brave enough to try $5 \diamond$, Exclusion Keycard, a fine convention that is, unfortunately, often misunderstood.

|  | Votes | Marks | Competitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4ง | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| 54 |  | 9 | 0 |
| 4* | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| 4a | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 6 ¢ | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| 4NT | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| 5* | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| $4 \checkmark$ | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 6* | 0 | 2 | 1 |

Partner has a disappointing KQT982 8 AJ765 9. 4a is where you want to be, though 5a will probably survive.
Problem 4 Teams EW Vul, Dealer East

| AQT <br> ®Q842 <br> \&A3 <br> \&Q | West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - | $1 \diamond$ | P |
|  | 18 | P | $2 \star$ | P |
|  | $?$ |  |  |  |

There is a common misconception that when partner rebids a new suit they show a minimum opening bid. The great majority of our competitors choose the game that is most likely to succeed opposite a minimum opener. There are 2 possible scenarios where this is the wrong thing to do.

SANDERS: 2A. 3NT is fine but 2^, 4th suit, works a treat when partner has a strong 5 - 5 shape.
Tudor: 2a. If I jump to 3NT then I give up on a slam in clubs. Start with Fourth Suit Forcing and see what you learn from partner's next bid.

Indeed. Partner can still have up to 17HCP in an unbalanced hand that is not quite worth a game-forcing jump rebid of 3ne lazy 3NT bid might easily miss an excellent slam.

SIme: 2^. Fourth Suit Forcing, asking partner to further describe his hand. Be wary of 3NT with 『Q8xx opposite a possible singleton- not even one stopper.
Again with the Stopper! But you do need the 9 to be sure. LHO is unlikely to lead a heart, but if opponents win a trick later they may find a killer switch. It would be embarrassing to go down in 3NT opposite Kxx - KQxxx ATxxx with cold.

|  | Votes | Marks | Competitors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2\& | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 3NT | 3 | 8 | 29 |
| 5\& | 0 | 7 | 1 |

Partner has KJ 6 KQT64 AK852, a bit extra but not enough to jump to 3 over 19. If you go through $4^{\text {th }}$ suit, you can raise clubs next time to reach slam. If she rebids $3 \checkmark$ with a $6-4$ hand you can bid 3NT and with extras she may bid on with 4NT (quantitative when no suit is agreed) Now you can bid slam. Most pairs played in 3NT

## Competitors Top Scores

A rather peculiar set, where I confess that I would not have scored very well!

| 2* Master | Charles Fogelman | GBC | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1* Master | Alasdair Adam | Direct | 40 |
|  | Robin Macpherson | New Melville | 39 |
| Master | Pam Warner | New Melville | 35 |
|  | David Hartley | St Andrew | 28 |
|  | Neil Bulleid | Buchanan | 28 |
|  | Brian Rattray | Oban | 27 |
|  | Marilyn McDonagh | Carlton | 25 |
| District Master | Paul Kerr | Troon | 35 |
|  | Graham Vincent | Linlithgow | 34 |
|  | Rosemary Jamieson | Carlton | 33 |
|  | Jean Cousins | Buchanan | 32 |
|  | Ken Tait | Marmion | 31 |
|  | May Armour | Kyle | 30 |
|  | Liz Forbes | Oban | 28 |
| Local Master | Keith Smith | New Melville | 38 |
|  | Peter Beckett | New Melville | 35 |
|  | Margaret Mainland | Orkney | 35 |
|  | Lesley Cochrane | Aberdeen | 33 |
|  | Larry Watson | New Melville | 31 |
|  | Gavin Easton | Longniddry | 30 |
|  | Angela Ford | Maccabi | 29 |
|  | John Ramsay | Carlton | 28 |
| Club Master | Wilma Currie | Kilmacolm | 35 |
|  | Linton Horsfall | Carlton | 33 |
|  | Fiona McCourt | Bearsden Academy | 31 |
|  | Helen Adamson | Kirkcaldy | 28 |
|  | Stephen Carr | Ness | 28 |
|  | Debbie Bland | Bearsden Academy | 27 |
| Novice | Ian Lowson | New Melville | 32 |
|  | Evelyn Watson | Stepping-Stone | 32 |

## The Best of 2022

Congratulations and thanks to all the regular competitors.
These are the leading scores for those who entered at least 8 times, averaging their 8 best scores. The top player for each Master Point Rank is highlighted

|  |  |  | entries | Tot | Av | Best 8 | Av |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robin MacPherson | 1* Master | New Melville | 10 | 369 | 36.9 | 304 | 38.0 |
| Neil Bulleid | Master | Buchanan | 11 | 379 | 34.5 | 292 | 36.5 |
| Charles Fogelman | 2* Master | GBC | 12 | 409 | 34.0 | 287 | 36.0 |
| Margaret Mainland | Local Master | Orkney | 12 | 416 | 34.7 | 287 | 36.0 |
| Will lles | 2* Master | Stewartry | 9 | 317 | 35.2 | 286 | 35.8 |
| May Armour | District Master | Kyle | 11 | 373 | 33.9 | 283 | 35.4 |
| Peter Beckett | Local Master | New Melville | 12 | 398 | 33.2 | 281 | 35.1 |
| Roy Heanes | 1* Master | New Melville | 10 | 344 | 34.4 | 281 | 35.1 |
| Alasdair Adam | 1* Master | Individual | 10 | 323 | 32.3 | 279 | 34.9 |
| Paul Kerr | District Master | Troon | 9 | 300 | 33.3 | 278 | 34.8 |
| Liz Forbes | Local Master | Oban | 11 | 357 | 32.5 | 276 | 34.5 |
| Linton Horsfall | Club Master | Carlton | 12 | 371 | 31.0 | 274 | 34.2 |
| Stephen Carr | Club Master | Ness | 9 | 301 | 33.4 | 273 | 34.1 |
| David Edelman | Master | Maccabi | 8 | 269 | 33.6 | 269 | 33.6 |
| Marilyn McDonagh | Master | Carlton | 10 | 323 | 32.3 | 269 | 33.6 |
| Gavin Easton | Local Master | Longniddry | 10 | 309 | 30.9 | 266 | 33.3 |
| Helen Adamson | Club Master | Kirkcaldy | 12 | 356 | 29.7 | 265 | 33.1 |
| Jacky Lindsay | Club Master | New Melville | 10 | 308 | 30.8 | 262 | 32.8 |
| Pam Warner | Master | New Melville | 8 | 262 | 32.8 | 262 | 32.8 |
| Angela Ford | Local Master | Maccabi | 9 | 289 | 32.1 | 261 | 32.6 |
| Keith Smith | Local Master | New Melville | 8 | 261 | 32.6 | 261 | 32.6 |
| Jane Smithson | Master | Berwick | 9 | 289 | 32.1 | 261 | 32.6 |
| John Smithson | District Master | Berwick | 8 | 260 | 32.5 | 260 | 32.5 |
| Rosemary Jamieson | District Master | Carlton | 8 | 258 | 32.2 | 258 | 32.2 |
| David Hartley | Master | St Andrew | 10 | 305 | 30.5 | 255 | 31.9 |
| Evelyn Watson | Novice | SteppingStone | 10 | 297 | 29.7 | 254 | 31.7 |
| Debbie Bland | Club Master | Bearsden Acad | 11 | 357 | 29.3 | 249 | 31.1 |
| Chris Mickley | Local Master | Nairn | 11 | 318 | 29.0 | 235 | 29.4 |
| John Ramsay | Local Master | Carlton | 8 | 229 | 28.6 | 229 | 28.6 |

These are the Leading Scores from those who entered 6 or 7 times (There may have been some communication problems, which we hope to sort out in 2023)

|  |  |  | Tot | Av |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ken Brown | District Master | Pentland | 7 | 252 | 36.0 |
| Alex Sutherland | Master | New Melville | 7 | 243 | 34.7 |
| Fiona McCourt | Club | Bearsden Acad | 7 | 230 | 33.9 |
| Ken Tait | District | Marmion | 7 | 221 | 31.6 |
| Quentin Stephens | District | Aberdeen | 7 | 220 | 31.4 |
| Sheila Ritchie | District | Dundee | 7 | 218 | 31.1 |
| David King | $1^{*}$ | Berwick | 7 | 215 | 30.7 |
| Angela Horsfall | Club | Carlton | 7 | 215 | 30.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas Woodburn | Local | Doon | 6 | 209 | 34.8 |
| Alan Paterson | District | Johnstone | 6 | 206 | 34.3 |
| David Olive | Master | Caledonian | 6 | 204 | 34.0 |
| Alan Kirk | Master | Bearsden Acad | 6 | 191 | 31.8 |
| Brian Allan | Club | Dundee | 6 | 176 | 29.3 |
| Ian Lowson | Novice | New Melville | 6 | 175 | 29.1 |

