Improvers' Bidding Challenge 17 – October 2019

Russell Frame

We have input from a panel of 9 bridge teachers for our latest challenge. The questions for Challenge 18 appear elsewhere in this magazine and will also be posted on the SBU website – if you are a Bronze category player, please have a go and submit an entry. Let's see what our panel of teachers has to say:

Problem 1	Teams	All	Vul
-----------	-------	-----	-----

	Ν	Е	S	W
♠ Q	-	Pass	Pass	Pass
♥ AKQJ87	1♥	Pass	1N	Pass
◆ T5	?			
♣ KQ83				

The majority of the panel decided to bid game:

Hamilton (similarly Benson, Gallacher,

Pigott & Tudor): 4♥: Doesn't need much so going for it at Teams - 3NT is likely to have problems in Spades as partner will be short as well.

Peter, Liz and Derek judged that inviting game was sufficient:

Edmond (similarly McGowan & Sanders): 3♥: 3C shows 9 of my cards but would be forcing so here I prefer to leave it up to partner.

Steve decided to force and bid out his shape:

Male: 3♣: Great hand - this is game forcing as I need very little from partner to make 5H or several tricks in Clubs.

Problem 1	Votes	Marks
4♥	5	10
3♥	3	9
3N	0	8
3♣	1	7
<u>3</u> ♣ 2♣	0	5
2♥	0	4
2♠	0	1

At the table, partner held **▲***KJ2,* **♥***T9,* **♦***942,* **▲***A*9742 so, with only 3 losers, game was easily made.

Problem 2 Teams EW Vul

	N	Е	S	W
▲ 43	?			
♥ AJT8543				
♦ A862				
♣ -				

The panel was split between a 1-level opener and a strong pre-empt:

Pigott (similarly **Benson**, **Male**, **McGowan**, **Sanders & Tudor**): 1♥: Because of my two aces, 3H is unthinkable but, although 4H is also pre-emptive, I want to hear more from partner before deciding on the final level especially as my hand has so much potential if a fit is found.

Mike, Peter & Danny preferred to jump straight to game:

Gallacher (similarly Edmond & Hamilton): 4♥: The dilemma is what level of Hearts to open - I could open 1 but, at favourable vulnerability and the dealer, I bid game immediately to make it difficult for the opposition to find the correct contract.

Problem 2	Votes	Marks
1♥	6	10
4♥	3	9
3♥	0	5
2♥	0	4
Pass	0	1

Partner's hand was AT8752, Q97, 3, AT4 so 11 tricks were available with $K\Psi$ onside.

Problem 3 Teams NS Vul

	Ν	Е	S	W
▲ A98742	-	-	Pass	Pass
▼ AQ5	1♠	Pass	1N	Pass
♦ -	?			
♣ A852				

The panel was split on whether to invite or not - the majority introduced their second suit...

McGowan (similarly others): 2♣: Partner should give preference to Spades if he is 2-3 - if he has a singleton spade we may reach the best contract this way.

...but Joyce and Mike wanted to tell partner about their 6th Spade:

Benson (similarly **Gallacher**): 2♠: The choice here is between 2S & 2C but I reckon that the Club suit is so poor that this describes the hand better.

Problem 3	Votes	Marks
2♣	7	10
2♠	2	9
3♠	0	7
3♣	0	6
2♠	0	4
Pass	0	1

Partner held $\triangleq QT$, $\forall K92$, $\blacklozenge J8753$, $\triangleq QT6$ so, with a fortunate lie of the opponents' cards, careful play led to 10 or even 11 tricks!

Problem 4 Teams EW Vul

	Ν	Е	S	W
▲ AKT4	-	-	-	1♠
♥ 73	Pass	2♠	3♦	Pass
◆ K965	?			
♣ Q43				

The majority of the panel opted to risk the NT game with various degrees of confidence:

Sanders (similarly **Hamilton**, **McGowan** & **Pigott**): 3N: Partner has a spade shortage so likely to have stuff in the other suits!

Tudor (similarly **Edmond**): 3N: A little risky but this semi-gambling action often pays off - if opponents double, I might have a rethink!

Steve & Mike opted for a conservative line:

Male (similarly **Gallacher**): Pass: No need to bid for now - partner couldn't double but, if they bid 3S I'll go to 4D.

Joyce decided to leave it to partner to decide if there were 11 tricks in their minor:

Benson: 4♦: This time it is close between 4D & pass but this gives partner a chance to bid 5D or pass depending on the outside quality of their hand.

Problem 4	Votes	Marks
3N	6	10
Pass	2	8
4♦	1	7
5♦	0	5
3♠	0	6

Partner's holding was \bigstar -, $\checkmark AQT$, $\diamond QJT432$, $\bigstar KT82$ so 3N was a relatively comfortable make although the Diamond game was a step too far!

Congratulations to the following top scorers in the various categories, particularly Bill, Mike and Gary on their near perfect scores:

5*Hamish WatsonHamilton35MasterWatson352*Bill Crighton MasterBanchory39Master202020	
2*Bill CrightonBanchory39Master39)
Master)
Mike Carlton 39)
Marshall	
Bettina St Andrews 38	3
Debon	
1* Graham Carlton 36	6
Master Dempsey	
Les St Andrews 35	5
McConachie	
Master Gary Ness 39)
Coombe	
Rosie Longniddry 37	7
Crockett	
Roy Heanes New Melville 36	5
District Marilyn Carlton 38	3
Master McDonagh	
David Olive Caledonian 37	7
Jim Wilson St Joseph's 37	7
Local Rosemary Carlton 37	7
Master Jamieson	
Ken Brown Pentland 35	5
Margaret New Melville 35	5
Clark	
Club Lesley Stornoway 38	3
Master Marron	
May Armour Prestwick 37	7
Patricia Pentland 37	7
Gilmore	
Bridget Ormiston 37	7
Sinclair	
Janet Hill Milnathort 36	5