Improvers' Bidding Challenge 15 – January 2019

Russell Frame

We have input from a panel of 8 bridge teachers for our latest challenge. The questions for Challenge 16 appear elsewhere in this magazine and will also be posted on the SBU website – if you are a Bronze category player, please have a go and submit an entry. Let's see what our panel of teachers has to say:

Problem 1 Teams All Vul

	N	Е	S	W
♠ Q	ı	Pass	1♠	Pass
♥ KJT7653	?			
♦ 4				
♣ Q862				

The majority of the panel decided to upgrade to a 2-over-1 response:

Pigott (similarly several others): 2♥: 1N is a dustbin response with the intention of signing off in the long suit - although the hand is aceless, the 7-card suit headed by KJT is a good one and the SQ is working so I think 2H is better.

Danny & Steve both opted for the "dustbin" response:

Male (similarly **Hamilton**): 1N: Followed by pass if partner bids 2C but I would bid 2H over 2D and pass a 2S rebid.

Problem 1	Votes	Marks
2♥	6	10
1N	2	7
3♥	0	6
4♥	0	5
4♠	0	1

At the table, partner held $\triangle AT753$, ∇AQ , $\bullet JT83$, $\triangle A5$ so, although the Heart game was an easy make, 11 tricks were the limit.

Problem 2 Teams Love All

	N	Е	S	W
▲ AKJ973	-	-	-	1♥
♥ A9	?			
◆ QT6				
♣ Q8				

The panel were split 3 ways on this problem with Dbl and $1 \triangleq the$ favourites -firstly, the doublers showing their strength:

Gallacher (similarly **Hamilton & Pigott**): Dbl: I have very good Spade suit, 16hcps

and partner has still to bid so double and show my suit on the next round.

Liz, Steve & Derek decided that a simple overcall was sufficient for now:

McGowan (similarly Male & Sanders):

1♠: The loose Queens are not a good feature so I am not worth a double followed by 2S - I expect to compete with a double next time round.

Joyce & Jim opted for a strong jump overcall but with differing second choices if our system defined the jump as weak:

Benson: 2♠: If playing strong jump overcalls - otherwise, I would bid 1S hoping partner would not pass with any reasonable support.

Tudor: 2♠: Does anyone else still play 'classic' jump overcalls - if playing weak jump overcalls, I would start with a double.

Problem 2	Votes	Marks
Dbl	3	10
1♠	3	9
2♠	2	8
4N	0	4
3♠	0	3
1N	0	2
2♥	0	1

Partner's hand was ♠T62, ♥J82, ♠AK87, ♠KT7 and, with fortunate opposition holdings in Spades and Diamonds, even the unbiddable Spade slam rolled in on a combined 27-count!

Problem 3 Teams NS Vul

	N	Е	S	W
♦ J8654	-	1♦	Dbl	3♦*
♥ JT963	?			
• -				
♣ QJ7				

^{*}pre-emptive

This was the most conclusive answer from the panel with only a single dissenter:

Hamilton (similarly most others): 4♦: Looking for partner to pick a major game optimistic but shouldn't be too bad, the only danger being getting too high if partner has a good hand.

Only Liz chose to use a double to ask for partner's major but did hint at the slight risk involved:

McGowan: Dbl: Responsive, please bid your better Major partner - if partner passes this will not go well but it will teach him to bid next time I ask him to!!!

Problem 3	Votes	Marks
4♦	7	10
Dbl	1	7
4♥	0	6
4♠	0	5
Pass	0	4
3♥	0	2
3♠	0	2

Partner held $\blacktriangle KT73$, $\blacktriangledown AQ7$, $\blacklozenge 74$, $\clubsuit AT82$ so, with the CK onside, 10 or 11 tricks were available in either major, depending on the line taken in Spades.

Problem 4 Teams EW Vul

	N	Е	S	W
♦ A752	-	-	Pass	Pass
♥ A982	1♦	Dbl	1♥	1♠
♦ AK864	?			
♣ -				

This appeared to be the most challenging problem of the set with 4 different answers from the panel and a further 8 from entrants!:

Benson (similarly Hamilton, McGowan & Tudor): 4♣: As long as partner understands that this is a cue bid and a probe for a slamif not playing cue bids, I would try 4H.

Mike & Derek preferred to settle for game although Derek did make the point that partner could still bid on:

Gallacher (similarly Sanders): 4♥: Partner has made a free bid of 1H and with both opps showing spades, I will play my partner to have at most 1 - I have a good 5 card suit and a void so I will upgrade my hand and bid game.

Maurice preferred to start with a Spade cue bid:

Pigott: 2♠: It's tempting to splinter with 4C but this uses up too much bidding space as partner will almost certainly sign off in 4H without a 1st-round control - after my cuebid, partner is likely to simply bid 3H or pass if the opponents raise in spades so I can then make a second encouraging noise by cue-bidding Clubs which should send the message that a slam may be on.

Steve, however, thought that an invitational bid was sufficient:

Male: 3♥: Despite the possible bad break, I want to encourage partner to bid game at teams.

Problem 4	Votes	Marks
4♣	4	10
2♠	1	10
4♥	2	9
3♠	0	7
4N	0	6
4♠	0	6
Dbl	0	5
3♥	1	4
2♥	0	3
3♦	0	2
1N	0	2
5♦	0	1

This hand came from the final of the Rayne Trophy and partner's holding was ♠4, ♥KT764, ♠QJ73, ♠T98. 13 tricks are possible in either red suit with opponents holding a singleton HJ opposite Qxx but, in practice, even a small slam requires accurate timing!!

Congratulations to the following top scorers in the various categories, particularly Les, Richard and Vivien (a Novice) on their nearperfect scores on a difficult set of questions:

3*	Nancy	Montrose	31
Master	Duncan	Wientrose	31
2*	Gerald Della-	New Melville	35
Master	Porta	Thew williams	
Master	Bill Crighton	Banchory	34
1*	Les	St Andrews	39
Master	McConachie McConachie	Striiarews	
TVIUSTOI	Iain Grant	New Melville	33
Master	Frederick	Buchanan	37
Market	Adams	Buenanan	
	Sheila	Kilwinning	35
	Templeton		
District	Norma Kerr	Carlton	36
Master			
	Alex Kirk	Bearsden	32
		Improvers	
Local	Richard	Aberdeen	39
Master	Coleman		
	Bruce	Ness	36
	Morrison		
	Ken Brown	Pentland	35
	Rosemary	Carlton	35
	Jamieson		
	Jean Cousins	Buchanan	34
	Gordon	Nairn	34
	Stone		
	Ken Latham	Carlton	32
Club	May Armour	Prestwick	37
Master			
	Robin	New Melville	35
	Macpherson		
Novice	Vivien Reid	St Andrew	39
	Helen	Kirkcaldy	32
	Adamson		