## Improvers' Bidding Challenge <br> 12 - July 2018

Russell Frame

We have input from a panel of 11 bridge teachers for our latest challenge. The questions for Challenge 13 appear elsewhere in this magazine and will also be posted on the SBU website - if you are a Bronze category player, please have a go and submit an entry. Let's see what our panel of teachers has to say:

Problem 1 Teams Love All

|  | N | E | S | W |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \multirow{3}K853{} | - | - | P | P |
| AQJT9 | ? | P | $1 \uparrow$ | P |
| A93 |  |  |  |  |

The panel were divided on whether to rebid diamonds or to stretch to $1 N$, hearts being out of the question as it is a reverse (showing $16+H C P$ ):

Merriman (similarly Benson, Edmond, \&
Pigott): $2 \downarrow$ : Only 5 diamonds but misfit with partner and not really strong enough to reverse - if partner has hearts and values then they will go on.

Beryl, Joan \& Jim did consider an alternative before opting for the same rebid:

Tudor (similarly Campbell): 2 *: Tempting to stretch to 1NT but the singleton in partner's suit is not a good feature.

Lees: 2 t : I toyed with a rebid of 2 C but prefer to rebid my very good 5-card suit - I want to hear what partner has to say next: if
it's 2 S, I'm passing, but I may get lucky and might hear a 2 H rebid - now what?

Hamilton (similarly Male, McGowan \& Sanders): 1N: 1 HCP light but the diamond suit makes up for that - I can't reverse (especially with only one card in partner's suit) and a fake bid of 2C might make it hard to find a heart fit.

| Problem 1 | Votes | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 7 | 10 |
| N | 4 | 8 |
| 2 | 0 | 6 |
| Pass | 0 | 5 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 3N | 0 | 1 |

At the table, S held $\uparrow Q T 8654, ~ A 976, ~ 82$, \& K and the heart game could be made as a result of the 3-2 trump break but, in practice, was difficult to find unless you upgraded your hand to a $1 N$ rebid!

## Problem 2 Pairs EW Vul

|  | N | E | S | W |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 763 | - | - | $1 \uparrow$ | P |
| AJT5 | ? |  |  |  |
| A98 |  |  |  |  |
| AK5 |  |  |  |  |

The vast majority of the panel opted for a "phony" minor suit response although were a little divided on which to select:

McGowan (several similarly): 6 $\mathbf{V}$ : Can't describe the hand very well, so let's hear partner's rebid - I can force another bid out of him via Fourth Suit or by bidding a new suit at the 3 -level and then I can decide the best game or whether to go slamming.

Tudor (similarly Merriman): 2*: I prefer to lie about a minor than a major. I bid my weaker minor tactically to deter a lead in that suit.

Derek was the sole dissenter who wanted to show his strength immediately:

Sanders: 3v: Nothing good but at least I get the strength of the hand across as there are a lot of slam suitable hands - a great example of why we should teach a 5 card spade suit.

| Problem 2 | Votes | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 8 | 10 |
| 2 | 2 | 9 |
| 3 | 0 | 8 |
| 3 N | 0 | 7 |
| 3 | 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 N | 0 | 2 |
| 2 N | 0 | 1 |

Partner actually held $\uparrow A K J T 9, ~ 3, ~$ KJ72, \&Q7 - with the SQ offside, the spade slam was makeable with the aid of a successful diamond finesse!

Problem 3 Teams EW Vul

|  | N | E | S | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AKQ4 | - | - | - | P |
| - AK75 | ? |  |  |  |
| - J |  |  |  |  |
| - AQJ4 |  |  |  |  |

This was the closest question to unanimity amongst the panel:

Lees (similarly many others): 2e: 4414 hands are always tricky and this one is deadly but I want to push for game - if I hear 2 D , I'm gritting my teeth and rebidding

2NT, hoping that partner has a major suit and will use Stayman to find a fit!

There were a couple of dissenters, however:
Male: 1e: Reluctant to open 2C as no source of tricks and I don't want to take up too much room - after any response from partner in a major, I would splinter as there maybe even be a grand on here.

Edmond: 1 $\boldsymbol{\vee}$ : If partner cannot respond, then there is probably no game on!

| Problem 3 | Votes | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | 9 | 10 |
| $1 \boldsymbol{2}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $1 \boldsymbol{~}$ | 1 | 4 |
| 3 N | 0 | 3 |

Partner held $82, ~ Q 643, ~ K 7$, KT973 and all roads should lead to a making slam with hearts breaking kindly and $A D$ onside.

Problem 4 Teams Love All

|  | N | E | S | W |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT85 | - | - | - | P |
| \multirow{3}AKQJ5{} | 1 | 2 | Dbl | 3 |
| - | $?$ |  |  |  |
| \multirow{3}A954{} |  |  |  |  |

This was the most contentious hand of the set with 5 different choices from the panel and a further 5 from contestants:

Pigott (Campbell, Lees, Male \& Sanders similarly): 4 : This conveys extra strength and I want to know partner's best suit although the hearts are almost good enough to stand up on their own, partner's double suggests that one of the black suits may be a better spot.

Liz found another way of finding out more about partner's hand:

McGowan: Dbl: Responsive, asking partner to bid as he isn't guaranteed to have 4 spades but there are lots of hands he might hold where slam is good - 4D is premature as it leaves him no room to describe his hand.

Jim, however, wanted to be in slam:
Tudor: 5N: Pick a slam - the bidding suggests that partner has few wasted values in diamonds, so here's hoping.....

Danny also committed to slam but was more certain which was the correct one:

Hamilton: 64: Our hand is huge and partner only needs KQxxx in spades for a grand slam - struggling to find a way to bid this constructively.

Joyce, Peter \& David settled for game meantime, leaving it to partner to progress:

Benson (similarly Edmond \& Merriman):
4a: I would like to assume partner has 4 spades for the double - if they have a strongish hand they can still investigate a slam but, if not, I am happy to play in game.

| Problem 4 | Votes | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 5 | 10 |
| Dbl | 1 | 9 |
| $5 \boldsymbol{4}$ | 0 | 9 |
| 5 N | 1 | 8 |
| 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 6 | 0 | 6 |
| $4 \boldsymbol{~}$ | 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 |
| $3 \boldsymbol{~}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $3 \boldsymbol{~}$ | 0 | 2 |
| 3 N | 0 | 1 |

Partner's hand was QU976, T83, A983, \&T but, even with SK offside, slam was available in both major suits.

Congratulations to the following top scorers in the various categories, particularly Derek \& Martin on their perfect scores on a particularly difficult set of questions:

| $\mathbf{2}^{*}$ <br> Master | Will Iles | Stewartry | 38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Anne Kerr | Dundee | 37 |
| $\mathbf{1}^{*}$ <br> Master | Derek <br> Pendrey | Hamilton | 40 |
|  | Alan Young | Arbroath | 33 |
| Master | Martin <br> Robertson | New St <br> Andrew | 40 |
|  | Janet <br> Unsworth | Carlton | 38 |
| District <br> Master | Edward <br> Clutton | New Melville | 35 |
| Jean <br> Hamilton | New Melville | 33 |  |
| Local <br> Master | Ken Latham | Carlton | 38 |
|  | Roger <br> McDonald | Lerwick | 36 |
| Hamish <br> Wallace | Carlton | 36 |  |
| Club <br> Master | Nicola <br> Corbyn | Berwick | 33 |
|  | Keith Smith | New Melville | 30 |
| Novice | May Armour | Prestwick | 36 |
|  | Alistair <br> Smillie | Moray | 36 |

